00000	@	@	00000	@		@	0000000	0	0	000	00	00000	@@	0
@	0	@	@	@	@@	@	0	0	0	0	@	0	@	0
@	0000	90	0000	@	0	@	0	0	0	0	@	0	@	0
0	0	@	@	@		@	0	@	0	@	@	0	@	@
0	0	@	00000	@		@	@	Q		000	00	00000	000	

Mt. Holz Science Fiction Society 09/17/21 -- Vol. 40, No. 12, Whole Number 2189

## Table of Contents

Bond Songs (Part 4) (THE SPY WHO LOVED ME, MOONRAKER, FOR YOUR EYES ONLY) (comments by Mark R. Leeper) THE SAVIOR GENERALS by Victor Davis Hanson (book review by Gregory Frederick) THE FALL OF ROME(letters of comment by Fred Lerner, Paul Dormer, Keith F. Lynch, and Gary McGath) This Week's Reading (THE MARTIAN CHRONICLES) (book and television comments by Evelyn C. Leeper) Quote of the Week

Co-Editor: Mark Leeper, <u>mleeper@optonline.net</u> Co-Editor: Evelyn Leeper, <u>eleeper@optonline.net</u> Sending Address: <u>evelynchimelisleeper@gmail.com</u> All material is copyrighted by author unless otherwise noted. All comments sent or posted will be assumed authorized for inclusion unless otherwise noted.

To subscribe or unsubscribe, send mail to <u>eleeper@optonline.net</u> The latest issue is at <u>http://www.leepers.us/mtvoid/latest.htm</u>. An index with links to the issues of the MT VOID since 1986 is at <u>http://leepers.us/mtvoid/back\_issues.htm</u>.

## Bond Songs (Part 4) (THE SPY WHO LOVED ME, MOONRAKER, FOR YOUR EYES ONLY) (comments by Mark R. Leeper):

I hardly know what to tell you about THE SPY WHO LOVED ME, the next song in Bond song order. It is apparently from the point of view of a spy at the end of his career and likely the end of his life. Once his lover has advertised that she in turn has a lover who is a spy, any hopes for discretion are dashed. In the "Diamonds" film she apparently knows who James Bond is and expects other people to know, the spy is dead. With no explanation she says over the phone, "You just killed JAMES BOND!" It is too easy to--with little effort--to put a bullet in Bond's head.

Nobody does it better Makes me feel sad for the rest. Nobody does it half as good as you Baby, you're the best. {When she says nobody does it better or half as good, what kind of metric is she using? Who researched it? Was it fun? Maybe they held some kind of Sex Olympics?} I wasn't lookin' but somehow you found me I tried to hide from your love light {What is he? A firefly?} But like heaven above me The spy who loved me Is keepin' all my secrets safe tonight. {A word to the wise: they may not be all that safe. My suggestion would be not to invest so widely in a monoculture.}

```
And nobody does it better
       Though sometimes I wish someone could
       Nobody does it quite the way you do
       Why'd you have to be so good?
       The way that you hold me
       Whenever you hold me
       There's some kind of magic inside you
       That keeps me from runnin
       But just keep it comin'
       How'd you learn to do the things you do?
               {Learn? Are there courses in this stuff? Is that legal?}
       Oh, and nobody does it better
       Makes me feel sad for the rest
       Nobody does it half as good as you
       Baby, baby, darlin', you're the best
               {"baby,baby, darlin?"}
       Baby you're the best
      Darlin', you're the best
Baby you're the best
       Oh, oh, oh...
       Oh.
Next comes MOONRAKER, one of the worst Bond films in living memory. Bond gets himself shot into outer space and defends
Britain with laser blasters. He is an astronaut and a spy at the same time. I wonder how many CIA agents have had missions that took
```

Where are you? Why do you hide? {I have a feeling we are going to find out.} Where is that moonlight trail that leads to your side? {Did he leave a trail of breadcrumbs?} Just like the moonraker goes in search of his dream of gold, {The only definition for "moonraker" in the dictionary is a small triangular sail that flies at the top of the tallest mast on a sailing ship.} I search for love, for someone to have and hold. {She doesn't want a lover. She wants a puppy. (I don't blame her actually.)} I've seen your smile in a thousand dreams, Felt your touch and it always seems You love me, You love me. {She is entirely lacking an imagination apparently. She has seen her lover so many times and still doesn't know what he looks like?} Where are you? When will we meet? Take my unfinished life and make it complete. Just like the Moonraker knows his dream will come true someday, I know that you are only a kiss away. I've seen your smile in a thousand dreams, Felt your touch and it always seems You love me, You love me. {It seems to me she has invested a lot of time in a very dodgy proposition.}

And then comes FOR YOUR EYES ONLY.

them into space. I bet it hasn't been many.

For your eyes only, can see me through the night

{Has she tried a good flashlight?} For your eyes only, I never need to hide {It is saying she does not have to hide for her lover's eves.} You can see so much in me, so much in me that's new I never felt until I looked at you {I guess they must be playing some kind of silly Hide and Seek game.} For your eyes only, only for you You'll see what no one else can see, and now I'm breaking free For your eyes only, only for you The love I know you need in me, the fantasy you've freed in me Only for you, only for you For your eyes only, the nights are never cold {If it gets a bit chilly just throw a couple of eyeballs on the fire.} You really know me, that's all I need to know Maybe I'm an open book because I know you're mine But you won't need to read between the lines For your eyes only, only for you You see what no one else can see, and now I'm breaking free For your eyes only, only for you The passions that collide in me, the wild abandoned side of me Only for you, for your eyes only [-mrl]

## THE SAVIOR GENERALS: HOW FIVE GREAT COMMANDERS SAVED WARS THAT WERE LOST-FROM ANCIENT GREECE TO IRAQ by Victor Davis Hanson (book review by Gregory Frederick):

This is my second book from Victor Hanson. The author selected five generals to discuss in detail for this history book. He chose as subjects three Americans and two ancient generals. Many American readers maybe familiar with David H. Petraeus and William T. Sherman and some may have heard of Matthew B. Ridgway. Themistocles of Athens and Belisarius of the Byzantine Empire are not names known by many today, but they make for excellent additions to this group of military leaders who saved the day for their state.

Petraeus's move to push for a surge in US forces in Iraq in 2007 helped to quell the increasing violence and allowed the US to greatly reduce their military presence in Iraq. Sherman's actions in capturing Atlanta helped to reshape the results of the Civil War and aided Lincoln in his re-election bid for a second term which he got. Ridgeway turned the impending defeat from the huge Communist Chinese army that entered the Korean War into a route of the Chinese and then forced a stalemate at the 38th parallel. The Chinese entered the Korean War due to General MacArthur's ill advised drive into the far northern areas of North Korea which was too close to the Chinese border. Themistocles from ancient Athens defeated the Persians in the naval battle at Salamis causing the eventual triumph of the Greeks over the Persians. Belisarius was Emperor Justinian's most successful general in expanding the empire in an effort to regain the lost territories of the fallen Western Roman Empire. The Byzantine Empire was actually the Eastern Roman Empire. Belisarius's army was typically undermanned and not supported enough financially but he still won many battles. Victor Hanson is a great author of military history and his books are filled with fascinating facts and details. [gf]

THE FALL OF ROME(letters of comment by Fred Lerner, Paul Dormer, Keith F. Lynch, and Gary McGath):

In response to <u>comments on R. A. Lafferty's THE FALL OF ROME</u> in the 09/10/21 issue of the MT VOID, Fred Lerner sent a PDF of his (Fred's) article in the 25 February 1972 issue of the Science Fiction Research Association Newsletter (Vol. 2, No. 2). The article, entitled "The Curious Case of THE FALL OF ROME", reports that Lafferty himself repeatedly claimed it was a novel (and includes a letter from Lafferty that says he is surprised that people don't recognize that it is a novel). However, Fred notes," neither the galleys ... nor the published book itself lay claim to be other than straight fiction. So it has been reviewed, and so the librarians have classified it. My own reading of the volume leaves me no grounds for disagreement with this decision, except that I have a bias toward accepting an author's own classification of his work." Fred ultimately calls it a "quasi-novel". [-ecl/fl]

Paul Dormer responds to the line between history and fiction with:

Co-incidentally, I've just been reading a biography of the last Shogun of Japan. You could have a similar discussion about that. In the introduction, it is suggested it is a similar work to Shelby Foote's Civil War history. [-pd]

Keith F. Lynch adds:

I'm reminded of Alex Haley's best-selling \_Roots\_, and the miniseries based on it. At the time I'm pretty sure it was described and

marketed at non-fiction, but today it's universally described as fiction. [-kfl]

Gary McGath notes:

Haley was sued for plagiarizing from a novel called THE AFRICAN. He admitted that "various materials from THE AFRICAN by Harold Courtlander found their way into his book ROOTS." The matter was settled for an undisclosed sum rumored to be in the 6-digit range.

You need to watch those manuscripts of yours carefully, or things you didn't write might "find their way" in while you're sleeping. [-gmg]

## This Week's Reading (book and television comments by Evelyn C. Leeper)

In conjunction with the book RICHARD MATHESON ON THE SCREEN by Matthew R. Bradley, we have been watching all of Richard Matheson's film and television works, and I just finished the mini-series, THE MARTIAN CHRONICLES.

This starts with "based on the novel" but THE MARTIAN CHRONICLES is not a novel. (Or maybe it is in the sense that we talk about a "fix-up novel"--after all, we talk about the "Foundation Trilogy" as three novels, but none of the books is a novel.)

Presumably we would have scouted the area before choosing a landing spot, and seen the city.

The second mission suggests the first mission built the town, but doesn't ask why there is grass, or trees, any other macro Earth life that wasn't noticed in survey missions.

The second mission recognizes that the air is too thin, which sort of makes sense--you could be hypnotized to think you are seeing or hearing things, but you couldn't be hypnotized to survive in a vacuum (for example). But the thin air barely affects them--this is definitely "Old Mars" in the sense of having the environment science fiction gave it pre-1976: air a little thin, but breathable (maybe with some supplemental oxygen), temperature maybe a little chilly but nothing that people couldn't survive, and of course, Martians. This is clear from the very beginning of the movie: the view of Mars they show has clouds in the Martian sky.

Based on the interiors shown in "The Settlers", weight did not seem to be a consideration for what they could bring from Earth--not just knick-knacks and decorative objects, but large pieces of furniture were apparently transported. This is doubly strange, as we never see a ship large enough to have carried enough for even one house, let alone an entire settlement.

I know Bradbury wrote "The Fire Balloons" before it, but I was getting a whole "Canticle for Leibowitz" vibe from its version in "The Settlers". It's probably the monks in the desolate desert setting and everything.

The phone book seems awfully thick for the level of colonization on Mars, even if it is for the entire planet.

I realize asking about scientific realism in a Bradbury story is foolish, but in the last segment, what does the Rock Hudson think he and his family are going to eat? (He seems to be planning not to return when he tells his family to take only what they can't do without. Only after his kids and wife object does he agree to go back until they're ready.)

Is burning the books at the end of the series supposed to be reminiscent of FAHRENHEIT 451? He says, "Burning what's behind us, burning a way of life." True, but is he burning civilization in exchange for primitivism?

The mini-series was in three parts; the primary stories adapted were:

"The Expeditions":

- ∘ "Ylla"
- "The Third Expedition"/"Mars Is Heaven"
- "The Settlers":
  - "The Locusts"
    - "The Fire Balloons"
    - "The Off Season"
- "The Martians":
  - "The Silent Towns"
  - "The Long Years"
  - "Night Meeting"
  - "The Million-Year Picnic"

(However, some elements of other stories were also included.)

[-ecl]

Quote of the Week:

Some of my best leading men have been dogs and horses.  $--\mbox{Elizabeth Taylor}$ 

Tweet

Go to our home page THE MT VOID